Targeting ?

SurveyMonkey

Should the targeting call have been overturned by replay booth?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		55.1%	109
No (Please provide reason in comments section)		44.9%	89
		Other coments:	73
		answered question	198
		skipped question	1

Q1. Should the targeting call have been overturned by replay booth?		
1	The hit caused the helment to come off.	Sep 9, 2013 1:21 PM
2	Because of the helmet contact	Sep 9, 2013 9:56 AM
3	the act by #8 orange has the majority for a targetting foul. He went up with shoulder AND head (BTW it looks like to me that he lowered his helmet). Targetting ejection should have standed here.	Sep 8, 2013 1:24 AM
4	Player use crown of helmet	Sep 6, 2013 6:23 PM
5	Looks like he uses helmet as weapon as he comes up underneath facemask	Sep 6, 2013 2:16 PM
6	The helmets contact which were the guidelines give by Rogers Redding	Sep 6, 2013 1:16 PM
7	Two criteria, defenseless player (passing or just after) and then Head or Neck of player. This hit, to me, is high enough to warrant the flag. He could have lowered his target rather than come in "vertical".	Sep 6, 2013 11:31 AM
8	Led with head right into the chin!	Sep 5, 2013 6:29 PM
9	does not meet the criteria for launch	Sep 5, 2013 2:26 PM
10	Not contact with the crown of the helmet nor was the contact above the shoulders.	Sep 5, 2013 1:40 PM
11	Head and nsck area	Sep 5, 2013 11:42 AM
12	Player led w/ helmet into QB	Sep 5, 2013 11:27 AM
13	Hit to head	Sep 5, 2013 10:14 AM
14	Led with crown of helmet above the shoulders of the qb	Sep 4, 2013 6:08 PM
15	Most major college coordinators want it to be bigger...	Sep 4, 2013 5:18 PM
16	The helmet made contact and caused the QB helmet to become dislodged	Sep 4, 2013 4:58 PM
17	No launch, initil contact is with forearm, not helmet-incidental helmet to helmet	Sep 4, 2013 3:00 PM
18	Helmet to Helmet, with launch	Sep 4, 2013 11:22 AM
19	Forearm first, helmet to side.	Sep 4, 2013 10:07 AM
20	No intend by defender to punish QB contact is late.	Sep 4, 2013 8:11 AM
21	When in doubt, call penalty. This was too close to overturn	Sep 4, 2013 7:27 AM
22	When in doubt out.	Sep 4, 2013 5:37 AM
23	crown of helmet used, didn't jump for ball (as teammate did), stays with high target line.	Sep 4, 2013 4:02 AM
24	The defenders helmet contacted the quarterbacks helmet	Sep 3, 2013 9:48 PM

Q1. Should the targeting call have been overturned by replay booth?		
25	If the intent of the new penalty is to eliminate dangerous contact to and above the shoulders, the fact that a forearm makes contact nonoseconds before the illegal contact should not releive the defensive player from the new penalty.	Sep 3, 2013 8:56 PM
26	There was helmet to helmet contact.	Sep 3, 2013 8:50 PM
27	Player turns head to the side.	Sep 3, 2013 8:09 PM
28	Crouch and lead with the helmet to the head and neck area. Tell him to go lower.	Sep 3, 2013 8:07 PM
29	I'm glad the player did not get DQ'd for this hit as it was not targeting in my book. I'm surprised replay overturned it based on what I thought they were told.	Sep 3, 2013 7:33 PM
30	helmet to helmet	Sep 3, 2013 7:23 PM
31	It was close, and when in doubt it is a targeting foul	Sep 3, 2013 7:18 PM
32	No call should stand, QB was contacted in the head by the defenders helmet	Sep 3, 2013 7:14 PM
33	Defensive player did make contact with crown of helmet. He led with forearm then made helmet to helmet contact, which seemed intentional.	Sep 3, 2013 6:02 PM
34	Looks like replay officials were more concerned about the forearm and missed helmet to the chin. Good call by R.	Sep 3, 2013 5:41 PM
35	Clear contact with crown of helmet to QB's chin - no question in my mind	Sep 3, 2013 5:19 PM
36	The hit was in the head/neck area regardless of whether or not the crown of the helmet was involved.	Sep 3, 2013 5:02 PM
37	Redding's statment if helmets touch DQ stands	Sep 3, 2013 4:08 PM
38	defender came in with helmet under face mask	Sep 3, 2013 3:56 PM
39	crown of the def helmt hit QB helmet and he wasand the def was looking down	Sep 3, 2013 3:02 PM
40	Hit was not late, However had helmet contact to a defensless player.	Sep 3, 2013 2:52 PM
41	Marginal contact for RFP. Announcers are paid to talk, not be aware of what they are talkoing about.	Sep 3, 2013 1:28 PM
42	stands wouls be the correct call. Player lead with helmet	Sep 3, 2013 1:06 PM
43	The targeting player had his head down and was trying to punish the quarterback, not play the ball. The defender could not have been playing the ball since his head was down and he could not see the ball.	Sep 3, 2013 12:52 PM
44	Not a great call, but there was helmet contact. My understanding is it should not be reversed if there is any helmet contact.	Sep 3, 2013 12:29 PM
45	The initial contact appears to be from the defenders helmet to the face mask of the QB. If you slow it down, you can see the facemask of the QB lift up as the contact is made. By the guidelines we were told, it should be 100% clear that no contact with the helmet was made to reserve the DQ.	Sep 3, 2013 12:17 PM

Q1. Should the targeting call have been overturned by replay booth?		
46	clearly not a targeting foul as QB head or neck was not contacted	Sep 3, 2013 12:11 PM
47	Crow of helmet right under the chin.	Sep 3, 2013 11:57 AM
48	totally unecessary, dips his head, and makes helemt to helmet contact, meets targeting criteria, good call, poor reversal	Sep 3, 2013 11:28 AM
49	stands	Sep 3, 2013 11:18 AM
50	helmet to helmet contact	Sep 3, 2013 11:09 AM
51	still proper call for roughing the passer	Sep 3, 2013 9:19 AM
52	Unless the RO is 110% sure that there was NO CONTACT in the head / neck region AND NO CONTACT with the the crown of the helmet, then the ruling on the field stands.	Sep 3, 2013 9:14 AM
53	Defender made significant contact to the defenseless passer's helmet.	Sep 3, 2013 9:01 AM
54	The Intent is still there and hits the player with the crown of the helmet	Sep 3, 2013 8:58 AM
55	Replay focused on the elbow per the announcement however the helmet of the defender still was lowered into the passer's head/neck area.	Sep 3, 2013 8:46 AM
56	Contact to the head	Sep 3, 2013 8:31 AM
57	Tackler led with his helmet and struck in the neck/chin area	Sep 3, 2013 8:11 AM
58	Leads with crown of helmet on defenseless play	Sep 3, 2013 7:51 AM
59	elbow was not the problem.	Sep 3, 2013 7:26 AM
60	Contact with the crown. Lowered the head.	Sep 3, 2013 7:25 AM
61	The contact was initiated below the shoulder. The QB's head moves forward contacting the top of #8 the defenders helmet.	Sep 3, 2013 7:23 AM
62	Player lowered his head and contacted a defenseless player in the neck area.	Sep 3, 2013 7:02 AM
63	Helmet to helmet contact regardless of forearm contact	Sep 3, 2013 6:50 AM
64	Player Lowered his helmet.	Sep 3, 2013 6:40 AM
65	Crown of helmet hits QB chin.	Sep 3, 2013 6:39 AM
66	neck "area" with lowered crown.	Sep 3, 2013 6:35 AM
67	Pretty simple one here. Targeting tot the Neck Area. Simple call. NEVER should have been overturned.	Sep 3, 2013 6:30 AM
68	no consistency with what D2 and D3 officials have to handle, make the call and stick to it	Sep 3, 2013 6:26 AM
69	Helmet contact	Sep 3, 2013 4:24 AM

Q1. Should the targeting call have been overturned by replay booth?		
70	Doubtful if roughing the passer	Sep 3, 2013 4:03 AM
71	It does appear that the QB's helmet is contacted.	Sep 3, 2013 3:44 AM
72	That's leading with the crown and making contact to the head or neck area. Pick one. Same outcome.	Sep 3, 2013 3:35 AM
73	The description given by R of the forearm not contacting the neck area is irrelevant to the fact that this defender did not attempt to lower his target zone and initiated the contact to this defenseless player to his facemask.	Sep 2, 2013 8:33 PM